

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,232 09/25/2003		Mark J. Chimel	5677-216	8713
26345 GIBBONS P.C	7590 04/11/200	7	EXAMINER	
ONE GATEWA	AY CENTER		PADEN, CAROLYN A	
NEWARK, NJ 07102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/11/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/11/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

thibbits@gibbonslaw.com abriggs@gibbonslaw.com IPDocket@gibbonslaw.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/672,232	CHIMEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carolyn A. Paden	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2007.	1			
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-10,27 and 45-61</u> is/are pending in the	ne application				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,8,9,27 and 45-56</u> is/are allowed.					
	☐ Claim(s) <u>6,6,9,27 and 45-56</u> is/are anowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>7 and 10</u> is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>57-61</u> is/are objected to.	·	:			
′=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	on Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
,-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			;			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 contains the recitation "or wherein" in the third line from the bottom and it is unclear what is intended by the wherein clause in the claim. An amendment to the claim changing "or" to –and- would overcome the rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Kealey (6,599,553).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the

Art Unit: 1761

reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filling date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Kealey discloses a dry drink mix of chocolate containing emulsifier, and cocoa procyanidin (claim 1). The procyanidin content of a variety of cocoa products is shown in Table 4 and appears to fall within the range of the claims. The cocoa polyphenols were added to a variety of foods as shown in Table 1A. The lecithin content of the drink is shown at the top of column 52 and appears to be within the range of the claims. Although the drink mix is not described as a food additive or food supplement, it would

Art Unit: 1761

have been obvious at the time of applicants' invention to utilize the cocoa of Kealey in a chocolate or cookie formulation.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (6,194,020) or (6,399,139).

Myers discloses cocoa polyphenols for use in confectioneries. At column 7, lines 46-50 of '020 and column 7, lines 44-48 of the '139, chocolate liquor is described as having the cocoa procyanidins of the claims. At column 25 of '020 and example 8 of '139 a chocolate formulation including lecithin is shown. The claims appear to differ from Myers in the recitation of the use of chocolate as an additive. Chocolate is a well-known ingredient in cake and cookie recipes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the chocolate of Myers as an additive in a cake formulation.

Claim 6, 8, 9, 27, 45-56 are allowed.

Claims 10 and 57-61 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone

Art Unit: 1761

number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 4-6-07

Page 5